

2022 LiveLaw (SC) 1007

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA SANJAY KISHAN KAUL; J., ABHAY S. OKA; J.

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 529/2021; 29-11-2022 SONADHAR versus THE STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973; Section 439 - Prisoners in jail despite getting bail as they can't fulfil conditions - Supreme Court directs States to furnish data of undertrial prisoners who remain in bail as they can't satisfy surety or comply with other conditions.

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19-10-2012 in CRA No. 118/2008 passed by the High Court of Chhatisgarh at Bilaspur)

Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, Sr. Adv. (AC) Mr. Aniket Jain, Adv. Mr. Devansh A. Mohta, Adv. (AC) Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, AOR (AC) For Petitioner(s) Ms. Liz Mathew, AOR (AC) Ms. Vasudha Jain, Adv. For Respondent(s) Ms. Prachi Mishra, AAG Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR Mr. Gaurav Arora, Adv. Mr. Dipesh Singhal, Adv. Ms. Mridula Ray Bharadwaj, AOR Arunachal Pradesh Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR Tamil Nadu Mr. V. Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv./AAG Dr. Joseph Aristotle, AOR Ms. Nupur Sharma, Adv. Mr. Shobhit Dwivedi, Adv. Mr. Sanjeev Kr. Mahara, Adv. Ms. Vaidehi Rastogi, Adv. Ms. Richa Vishwakarma, Adv. Mr. Yogesh Kanna, AOR Assam Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv. Mr. Kumar Arnav Singhdeo, Adv. Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, Adv. Mr. Vishal Banshal, Adv. Ms. Rajeshwari Shankar, Adv. Mr. Niroop Sukrithy, Adv. Mohd. Ovais, Adv. Mr. V.K. Khanna, Sr. adv. Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv. Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv. Mr. Nihar Dwevedi, Adv. Mr. Narendra Pal Sharma, Adv. Mr. Anubhav Gupta, Adv. West Bengal Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv. Mr. Soumitra G. Chaudhuri, Adv. Mr. Shashwat panda, adv. Ms. Vandana Tiwari, Adv. Ms. Simran Singh, Adv. Mr. Chanchal K. Ganguli, AOR Bihar : Mr. Saket Singh, Adv. Mrs. Niranjana Singh, AOR Punjab : Mr. Ajay Pal, AOR Mr. Mayank Dahiya, Adv. Rajasthan : Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv. HC of Gujarat : Mr. Nikhil Goel, Adv. Andhra Pradesh : Mr. Mahfooz A Nazki, AoR Karnataka : Mr. Subhranshu Padhi, AOR UP : Ms. Garima Prasad, Sr. Adv./AAG Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv. Mr. Ankit Tiwari, Adv. Mr. Sarvesh Singh Baghel, AOR Mr. Vikarmajit Banerjee, Ld. ASG Mr. Mukesh Kumar Verma, Adv. Mr. Mrinal Elker Mazumdar, Adv. Mr. G.S Makker, AOR Kerala: Mr. Harshad V Hameed, AOR Mr. Dileep Poolakkot, Adv. Mrs. Ashly Harshad, Adv. Odisha: Mr. Shovan Mishra, Adv. Ms. Bipasa Tripathy, Adv. Haryana: Mr. Shekhar Raj Sharma, Dy.AG Mr. Paras Dutta, Adv. Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR Himachal Pradesh: Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR Mr. Akshay Srivastav, Adv. Ms. Pratishitha Vij, Adv. Maharashtra: Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv. Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv. Ms. Kirti Dadheech, Adv. Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR Manipur: Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv. Ms. Anupam Ngangom, Adv. Mr. Wahengbam Immanuel Meitei, Adv. Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Adv. Ms. Devyani Bhatt, Adv. Ms. Shravya Pathak, Adv. Nagaland: Ms. K Enatoli Sema, AOR Ms. Limavinla Jamir, Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv. Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv. MP: Mr. Yashraj Singh Bundela, Adv. Mr. Pashupati Nath Razdan, AOR Ms. Ayushi Mittal, Adv, Mr. Astik Gupta, Adv. Mr. Vipul Abhishek, Adv. Meghalaya : Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR

ORDER

SMWP(Crl.) No. 4/2021

Taken on Board.

EXECUTION OF BAIL ORDERS

At the inception, we flag the issue of under trial prisoners who continue to be in custody despite having been granted benefit of bail on account of their inability to fulfill the conditions of bail. In order to have a realistic estimate of it, each jail authority would be required to convey to the State Government the data in this behalf and the State Government would then have to send it to NALSA so that a scheme can be worked out in this behalf. Assistance would have to be provided seeking variation of the terms of the bail in such cases.

We thus call upon all the State Governments to issue directions to the jail authorities to submit the details of such cases in a chart form indicating (a) the name of the under trial, (b) the offence charged, (c) the date when the bail was granted and (d) the conditions of bail which have not been met and how long that period from the date of the bail order till now. The State will ensure that these details are made available by the jail authorities to them within a period of 15 days from today and forward the data to NALSA within one week thereafter, whereafter the NALSA will process for making necessary suggestions how to deal with this issue and of course provide legal assistance whereever necessary. The assistance may be obtained from Tata Institute of Social Sciences (TISS) by NALSA in this behalf as it is stated that such an endeavour has been made by them already in Maharastra.



SLP(Crl.) No. 529/2021

E-PRISON MODULE

Mr. Devansh A. Mohta, learned Amicus Curiae has drawn our attention to the developments in Karnataka where the Karnataka State Legal Services Authority informed that e-prison Module has been implemented in all 52 prisons in Karnataka but "legal aid information module" was not enabled in Karnataka prisons. The suggestion was that the said legal module be enabled to facilitate the categorizing of prisoners receiving legal aid.

The steps taken in pursuance thereto with the meeting held with NALSA along with three Amici assisting us here, has produced a result that eprison module, having important features, which enable effective monitoring, the e-prison portal is ready to be uploaded accordingly. The suggestion from the learned Amicus is that this should be implemented across the country with coordination between State Legal Services Authorities and the prison authorities. The needful be completed within a period of two months and the compliance be informed to NALSA so that it can be placed before this Court.

One of the suggestions made is e-prison module can be modified for uploading data regarding orders granting bail, status of implementation of the orders granting bail and orders of acquittal.

The needful be implemented within the aforesaid period of time.

It is suggested by learned Amicus that if the aforesaid experimentation proves to be fruitful, the same should be extended across the country in all the States and the NALSA will specifically inform the State Legal Services Authorities though they are already represented before us and should start operation forthwith.

COMPLIANCE OF PRE-MATURE DIRECTIONS BY THE STATES

Ms. Liz Mathew, learned Amicus Curiae points out that in e-Prisons application suite is developed by NIC which computerizes and integrates all activities relating to prison management. It is suggested that in respect of life convicts a field for eligibility of filing premature application may be incorporated along with another one for status of premature release application as it would facilitate easy compliance of the timeline directed by this Court in order dated 07.07.2021.

We are of the view that this is a desirable process and should be forthwith explored by NALSA in collaboration with the Ministry of Home Affairs and it will be coordinated by Mr. K.M. Nataraj, learned ASG who is appearing in this matter. It has also been mentioned that for the special remission in connection with Azadi Ka Amrit Mahotsav, a special module was developed by NIC and Ministry of Home Affairs and it has been given to understand with discussion with the authorities that this has not been incorporated with respect to premature release in normal course.

Thus we consider proper to direct that NALSA and Ministry of Home Affairs may explore how premature release for life sentences cases can be included in the system for periodic review so that the matter is not delayed.

List with Suo Moto Writ Petition (Crl.) No. 4 /2021 on 31.01.2023.

We may once again like to re-emphasize the commendable task being done by Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Mr. Devansh A Mohta and Ms. Liz Mathew, learned Amici Curiae.

© All Rights Reserved @LiveLaw Media Pvt. Ltd.

^{*}Disclaimer: Always check with the original copy of judgment from the Court website. Access it here