

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 / 31ST SRAVANA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 15015 OF 2023

PETITIONER:

SANJEESH S.S

AGED 46 YEARS

S/O SURENDRAN K, RESIDING AT T.C 32/683 (2), PRAYAGA RLRA 209 (C) RADHAKRISHNA LANE, VAZHAYILA, PEROORKADA P O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695005

BY ADVS.

THOMAS ABRAHAM

MERCIAMMA MATHEW

ASWIN.P.JOHN

R.ANANTHAPADMANABAN

PAUL BABY

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
 REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
 SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.
- 2 SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT
 GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
 PIN 695001
- 3 SECRETARY, LAW DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION)
 GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
 PIN 695001
- 4 DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION
 DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN 681018

K.R.RANJITH, GOVERNMENT PLEADER WITH STATE ATTORNEY

- 5 DISTRICT COLLECTOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL STATION BUILDING, CIVIL STATION ROAD,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695043
- DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695035

 BY ADVS.

 SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY()



2

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15046/2023, 15644/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 / 31ST SRAVANA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 15046 OF 2023

PETITIONER:

SALIMSHA U
AGED 53 YEARS
S/O UMMAR PILLAI, RESIDING AT BHAKTI VILASOM, KADAKOM
P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695304
BY ADVS.
THOMAS ABRAHAM
MERCIAMMA MATHEW
ASWIN.P.JOHN
R.ANANTHAPADMANABAN
PAUL BABY

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001
- 2 SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001
- 3 SECRETARY, LAW DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION)
 GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
 PIN 695001
- 4 DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION
 DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN 681018
- 5 DISTRICT COLLECTOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL STATION BUILDING, CIVIL STATION ROAD,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695043
- 6 DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM



4

VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695035 BY ADVS. SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 / 31ST SRAVANA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 15644 OF 2023

PETITIONER:

THULASIKUMAR.R
AGED 50 YEARS
S/O. RAMAYYAPILLAI, POOMANGALAM, PERUMKOOR, KONCHIRA P.O,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695615
BY ADVS.
M.R.SARIN
LEKSHMI S.R
PARVATHI KRISHNA

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
 REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
 SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 2 SECRETARY
 HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 3 SECRETARY
 LAW DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION), GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
 SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 4 DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION
 DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN 681018
- 5 DISTRICT COLLECTOR
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL STATION BUILDING,
 CIVIL STATION ROAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695043
- 6 THE DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695035



6

BY ADVS.
SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 / 31ST SRAVANA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 15775 OF 2023

PETITIONERS:

- 1 S.M. RAFEEK
 AGED 54 YEARS
 S/O SAINULABDEEN, RAFEEK MANZIL, PUTHUSSERI MUKKU P.O,
 KARAVARAM VILLAGE, CHIRAYINKEEZHU TALUK,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT,, PIN 695605
- 2 KANIRAJ
 AGED 49 YEARS
 S/O P.RAJENDRAN, KARTHIKA, PEDIKULAM, PULIMATH P.O,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT, PIN 695612
- NISSAM. A
 AGED 47 YEARS
 S/O ABOOBACKER KUNJU, T.K HOUSE, CHANNANKARA P.O,
 KANIYAPURAM VIA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT, PIN 695301

BY ADVS.
K.SIJU
S.ABHILASH
ANJANA KANNATH

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
 REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
 SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,, PIN 695001
- THE SECRETARY
 HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 3 THE SECRETARY



LAW DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION), GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001

- DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION 4 DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN - 681018
- 5 DISTRICT COLLECTOR THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL STATION BUILDING, CIVIL STATION ROAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043
- 6 DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN -695035 BY ADVS. SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 / 31ST SRAVANA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 19544 OF 2023

PETITIONER:

PRATHEESH MOHAN, ADVOCATE
AGED 34 YEARS
S/O. MOHANAN, SOBHA NIVAS, SREEKRISHNAPURAM, POWDIKONAM
P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,, PIN - 695588
BY ADVS.
MATHEW KURIAKOSE
K.R.ARUN

K.R.ARUN
T.G.SUNIL (PERUMBAVOOR)
J.KRISHNAKUMAR (ADOOR)
C.N.PRAKASH
MONI GEORGE
SHAJI P.K.

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA

 REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,

 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 2 PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
 HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, GOVERNMENT
 SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 3 SECRETARY, LAW DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION)
 GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION
 DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, ERNAKULAM, KOCHI,
 PIN 682018
- 5 DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,



2023/KER/52601

[WP(C) Nos.15015/2023, 15046/2023, 15644/2023, 15775/2023, 19544/2023, 20804/2023]

10

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695035

DISTRICT COLLECTOR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL STATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043
BY ADVS.
SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 / 31ST SRAVANA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 20804 OF 2023

PETITIONER:

AJIKHAN

AGED 48 YEARS

S/O. A. MEERA SAHIB, RESIDING AT M.S MAHAL, KARAKULAM PLAM JUNCTION, KARAKULAM P.O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695564

BY ADVS.

MAJIDA.S

MUHAMMED SUHAIL K.H.

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
 REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
 SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 2 SECRETARY
 HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 3 SECRETARY
 LAW DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION), GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
 SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695001
- 4 DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION
 DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN 682018
- 5 DISTRICT COLLECTOR
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL STATION BUILDING,
 CIVIL STATION ROAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695043
- 6 DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695035



12

BY ADVS.
SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



13

C.R.

JUDGMENT

The petitioners in these cases applied to be appointed as Additional Government Pleaders and Additional Public Prosecutors in the district of Thiruvananthapuram; and it is conceded that a list of such persons were drawn up by the District Collector and placed before the learned District Judge, Thiruvananthapuram in terms of the directions of this Court in Aju Mathew and others vs. State of Kerala represented by its Secretary, Thiruvananthapuram and others [2018(5)]

2. The petitioners project their grievance that, even though the learned District Judge is stated to have made an assessment of all the persons in the list provided to him by the



District Collector, they were excluded from the Final Panel prepared in terms of Aju(supra), but without assigning any whatsoever. They assert that they are all experienced lawyers, with sufficient period of practice; and therefore, that they could not have been found incapable of being recommended by the learned District Judge, as has been done these cases. They thus pray that the Panel now prepared by the District Collector - in which their names have been included, with the remark that they are "not recommended" by the learned District Judge - be set aside; and the Government of Kerala be directed to consider them also for appointment to the post in question.

3. Sri.Thomas Abraham - learned counsel for the petitioners in WP(C) No.15015 of 2023 and



15

15046/2023, argued that, subsequent to AjuMathew (supra), a learned Judge of this Court considered the law again, to issue judgment in WP(C)No.31269/2022 and connected matters - a copy of which is on recorded as Ext.P4 along with WP(C) No.15015/2023. He pointed out that, in paragraph nine of the afore said judgment, the learned Judge has held that the word 'specific grounds' mentioned in Rule 8(2)(c) of the Kerala Government Law Officer (appointment ad conditions service) and Conduct of Case Rules, 1978 of ("KGLO Rules" for short hereinafter) assumes great relevance as it indicates that rejection of names can be only for valid reasons. He argued out that, therefore, when his clients have been found not deserving of being "recommended" by the learned District Judge, but without being



16

recorded or told as to why this has been so held, it amounts to violation of the declarations of law by this Court in *Aju Mathew* (supra), as also, Ext.P4 in WP(C)No.15015 of 2023. He thus prayed that the Government be directed to consider his clients also for appointment to the posts in question, *dehors* the remarks recorded against them in the Panel now prepared by the District Judge.

4. Smt.S.Majida - learned counsel for the petitioner in WP(C)No.20804 of 2023; Sri.Siju Kamalasanan - learned counsel for the petitioners in WP(C)No.15775 of 2023; Smt.Mathew Kuriakose - learned counsel for the petitioners in WP(C)No.19544/2023 and Sri.Sarin Panickar - learned counsel for the WP(C)NO.15644/2023, adopted the afore submissions of Sri.Thomas



Abraham, arguing that, when their clients have been refused to be "recommended" by the learned District Judge, but without assigning any reason, it amounts to a stigma to their future professional competence; and hence that they are eligible to be considered also for now appointment by the Government from the Panel prepared by the District Collector, notwithstanding the "remarks" of the learned District Judge.

5. The submissions of the petitioner being recorded as afore, it is without doubt - as is admitted by both sides, that the appointment of persons to the posts in question is wholly covered by the decision of the learned Division Bench of this Court in *Aju Mathew* (supra). It is also without contest that, as per the said



judgment, the District Collector is to compile the list of all the applicants and forward it to the learned District Judge, with all inputs and information as stipulated statutorily, based on which the learned District Judge is to arrive at his conclusion as to the competence and suitability of such candidates. The District Collector is to then prepare a Panel candidates, who have been so recommended by the learned District Judge.

6. No doubt, Rule 8 of the "KGLO Rules" mandate that the learned District Judge can disapprove a particular name only for specified grounds. It is without contest that none of the petitioners in these cases have been informed why they have been found not eligible for being recommended by the learned District Judge and



there is no input on record to indicate the reasons in any manner whatsoever.

7. I, therefore, asked the learned State Attorney - Sri.N.Manoj Kumar, has to if there are any input available with the District Collector to why the petitioners were found as deserving of being recommended by the learned District Judge, to which, his answer was to the negative. He explained that, as far as District Collector is concerned, he can prepare a Panel from out of the names recommended by the learned District Judge and no more; and that he will have to then forwarded it to the Government, for appointments to be made from it submitted that, therefore, finally. Не Official respondents will abide by any directions to be issued by this Court in this writ petition.



- 8. As I have already indited above, no independent power is vested with the District Collector in the preparation of either the List of candidates who have applied; or the Final Panel of such persons. As far as the said officer is concerned, he compiles the list of candidates and sents it to the learned District Judge with all necessary inputs as statutorily required; and then, based on the recommendations of the said Authority, he is to then prepare a Final Panel and forward it to the Government, from which, the appointments are made.
- 9. Therefore, what is crucial is the opinion of the learned District Judge with respect to the competence and suitability of the applicants; and here, certainly, the observations in Ext.P4 judgment produced along with WP(C)No.15015/2023



71

- assumes some importance. The conclusion therein to the effect is that the indubitable 'specific grounds'; relating to the rejection of candidate by the learned District Judae, importance assumes great because such rejection/recording of disapproval, can be done only for valid and specified cause. Since the records to these writ petitions reveals no such having been intimated to the petitioners, certainly of the view that their cases require to be reconsidered by the learned District Judge, leading to the revision of the Panel, now prepared by the District Collector, in in terms of law, if it becomes so warranted.

In the afore circumstances, I allow these writ petitions and set aside the observations of learned District Judge as regards the petitioners



22

herein; with a consequential direction to the said Authority to reconsider their credentials, based on the inputs to be placed before him by the District Collector, as per the mandate in Aju Mathew (supra). The learned District Judge shall reconsider the competence of the petitioners, following the procedure as is legally mandated; and will thereupon take a final decision, recording specific grounds if they or any of them are found to be not deserving of being included in the Final Panel. The decision learned District Judge shall of the be in specifically recorded the resultant proceedings, to be then forwarded to the District Collector for the preparation of the Final Panel, be then placed before the Government for appointment.



23

The afore exercise shall be completed by the learned District Judge as expeditiously as is possible, but not later than two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment; consequent to which, the District Collector will, depending upon the decision to be taken by the said Authority, revise the Panel, if so warranted and place it before the Government for final action.

Sd/-

DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN JUDGE

SAS



24

<u>APPENDIX OF WP(C) 15046/2023</u>

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT P1 THE TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE

NO.DCTVM/9106/2022-C-3 DATED 22.10.2022

Exhibit2 THE TRUE COPY OF THE PANEL FOR THE POST OF

ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL

PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL AND THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 28-3-2023 OBTAINED BY THE PETITIONER THROUGH RIGHT

TO INFORMATION ACT

THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 11/11/2022 EXHIBIT P3

IN W.P.(C) NO.31269 OF 2022



25

<u>APPENDIX OF WP(C) 15644/2023</u>

Exhibit P1	THE TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE PUBLISHED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM FOR THE ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN THE DISTRICT OF THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 22.10.2022
Exhibit P2	THE TRUE COPY OF E-MAIL SEND BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM TO THE PETITIONER, SAID COMMUNICATION NO DCTVM/9106/2022 DATED 22.02.2023
Exhibit P3	THE TRUE COPY OF PANEL FOR THE POST OF ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL AND THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 28/3/2023 OBTAINED BY THE PETITIONER THROUGH RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT
Exhibit P4	THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 11/11/2022 IN WP (C) NO 31269 OF 2022



<u>APPENDIX OF WP(C) 15775/2023</u>

Exhibit P1	THE TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 22.10.2022
Exhibit P2	THE TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NO.DCTVM/9106/2022 DATED 22.02.2023
Exhibit P3	THE TRUE COPY OF PANEL FOR THE POST OF ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL AND THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 28/03/2023 OBTAINED UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT BY ANOTHER CANDIDATE ON 29.04.2023
Exhibit P4	THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 11/11/2022 IN W.P.(C) NO.31269 OF 2022



<u>APPENDIX OF WP(C) 19544/2023</u>

Exhibit P 1	A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE NO. DCTVM/9106/2022- C3 DATED 22.10.2022 PUBLISHED BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT, DISTRICT COLLECTOR
Exhibit P 2	TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NO. DCTVM/9106/2022-C3 DATED 22/02/2023 ISSUED BY THE BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT, DISTRICT COLLECTOR
Exhibit P 3	TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 02.03.2023 ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 6TH RESPONDENT WITH DETAILS OF THE JUDGMENTS
Exhibit P 4	TRUE COPY OF THE PANEL OF ADVOCATES FOR THE POST OF ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL, NEDUMANGADU AND THIRUVANANTHAPURAM AND PUBLISHED BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT



28

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 20804/2023

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

Exhibit P1 TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 22.10.2022 PUBLISHED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR Exhibit P2 TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NUMBER DCTVM/9106/2022 DATED 22.02.2022 INTIMATED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR TO THE PETITIONER THROUGH EMAIL Exhibit P3 TRUE COPY OF THE PANEL FOR THE POST OF ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER AND POST OF ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR IN VARIOUS COURTS IN TRIVANDRUM AND ATTINGAL DATED 28.03.2023 OBTAINED BY ONE OF THE CANDIDATE THROUGH RIGHT

TO INFORMATION ACT.



<u>APPENDIX OF WP(C) 15015/2023</u>

EXHIBIT P1	THE TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE NO.DCTVM/9106/2022-C3 DATED 22.10.2022
EXHIBIT P2	THE TRUE COPY OF THE SAID COMMUNICATION NO.DCTVM/9106/2022 DATED 22.02.2023
EXHIBIT P3	THE TRUE COPY OF PANEL FOR THE POST OF ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL AND THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 28/03/2023 OBTAINED BY THE PETITIONER THROUGH RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT
EXHIBIT P4	THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 11/11/2022 IN W.P.(C) NO.31269 OF 2022