ITEM NO.36 COURT NO.2 SECTION III

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No.4235/2014

THE BOARD OF CONTROL FOR CRICKET IN INDIA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

CRICKET ASSOCIATION OF BIHAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With IA No.133365/2022 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS and IA No. 133364/2022 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

Date: 30-09-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.

Mr. Prabhas Bajaj, Adv.

Mr. Pranav Saigal, Adv.

For Appellant(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG

Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukherjee, Adv.

Ms. Akanksha Mehra, Adv.

Mr. Kanu Agarwal, Adv.

M/s. Lawyer S Knit & Co.

Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, AOR

- Mr. Abhishek Singh, AOR
- Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
- Mr. Amol Chitale, Adv.
- Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

For Respondent(s)

- Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG
- Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Sr. Adv.
- Mr. Kanu Aggarwal, Adv.
- Ms. Swati Ghodiyal, Adv.
- Ms. Sujatha Bagadhi, Adv.
- Mr. Arvind Kr. Sharma, Adv.
- Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR
- Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG
- Mr. Gaurav Sharma, AOR
- Mr. Saurabh Chadha, Adv.
- Mr. Tejveer Bhatia, Adv.
- Mr. Dhawal Mohan, Adv.
- Mr. Prateek Bhatia, Adv.
- Ms. Manmeet Kaur Sareen, Adv.
- Ms. Mitushi Goyal, Adv.
- Ms. Rashmi Singh, AOR
- Mr. Venkita Subramoniam T.r, AOR
- Mr. Gagan Gupta, AOR
- Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, Sr. Adv.
- Mr. Shree Raveendra Kumar, Sr. Adv.
- Mr. Santosh Mishra, AOR
- Mr. K.K. Mohan, AOR
- Mr. Shree Pal Singh, AOR
- Mr. Sanveer Mehlwal, Adv.
- Ms. Geetanjali Mehlwal, Adv.
- Mr. Mohit Yadav, Adv.
- Ms. Arna Das, Adv.
- Ms. Kamakshi S. Mehlwal, AOR

Mr. Abhishek Atrey, AOR

Ms. Ambika Atrey, Adv.

Ms. Manju Sharma, AOR

Mr. Anish R. Shah, AOR

Mr. P. Narasimhan, AOR

Mr. V.K. Biju, AOR

Ms. Celeste Agarwal, Adv.

Ms. Akansha Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Babu Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mrs. Bina Gupta, AOR

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, AOR

Mr. R. Chandrachud, AOR

Mr. Sarvesh Singh, AOR

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR

Respondent-in-person

Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, AOR

Mr. Amol Chitale, Adv.

Mrs. Pragya Baghel, AOR

Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

Mr. Ritesh Kumar Chowdhary, AOR

Mr. Praveen Swarup, AOR

- Mr. Santosh Kumar I, AOR
- Ms. Liz Mathew, AOR
- Mr. Raghavendra S. Srivatsa, AOR
- Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR
- Ms. Sonia Mathur, AOR
- Mr. Snehasish Mukherjee, AOR
- Mr. Rajat Mittal, AOR
- Mr. Pai Amit, AOR
- Ms. Pankhuri Bhardwaj, Adv.
- Mr. Abhiyudaya Vats, Adv.
- Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, AOR
- Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, AOR
- Mr. Anshuman Ashok, AOR
- Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, AOR
- Mr. Saurabh Trivedi, AOR
- Ms. Rachna Gandhi, Adv.
- Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Adv.
- Mr. Ronak Baid, Adv.
- Mr. Khushant Wadhwa, Adv.
- Mr. Maneesh Pathak, Adv.
- Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, AOR
- Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR
- Mr. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, AOR
- Ms. Sneha Kalita, AOR
- Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

- Mr. Gaurav Sharma, AOR
- Mr. Hemant Phalpher, Adv.
- Mr. Nar Hari Singh, AOR
- Mr. Udayaditya Banerjee, AOR
- Ms. Nidhi Agarwal, Adv.
- Mr. Pushpinder Singh Sodhi, Adv.
- Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Adv.
- Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sharma, Adv.
- Mr. Neeraj Kumar Sharma, AOR
- Ms. Charu Ambwani, AOR
- Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, AOR
- Mr. Aditya Ranjan, AOR
- Ms. Purnima Krishna, AOR
- Mr. Samir Ali Khan, AOR
- Mr. Kaushik Poddar, AOR
- Mr. Shekhar G Devasa, Adv.
- Mr. Manish Tiwari, Adv.
- Mr. Shashi Bhushan Nagar, Adv.
- Ms. Thashmitha Muthanna, Adv.
- Mr. Prashanth Dixit, Adv.
- M/s. Devasa & Co.
- Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AOR
- Mr. K. Krishna Kumar, AOR
- Mr. Jayant Mohan, AOR
- Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, AOR
- Mr. Gaichangpou Gangmei, AOR

- Mr. Sandeep Devashish Das, AOR
- Mr. Deeptakirti Verma, AOR
- Ms. Anushree Prashit Kapadia, AOR
- Mr. Kaustubh Shukla, AOR
- Mr. Tarun Gupta, AOR
- Mr. Arjun Singh Bhati, AOR
- Mr. Kabir Hathi, Adv.
- Ms. Jesal Wahi, AOR
- Mr. Ankolekar Gurudatta, AOR
- Mr. Sahil Tagotra, AOR
- Mr. M. P. Devanath, AOR
- Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, AOR
- Mr. Rabin Majumder, AOR
- Mr. Rauf Rahim, AOR
- Mr. Aditya Verma, AOR

For Applicants

- Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Sr. Adv.
- Mr. Sukant Vikram, Adv.
- Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
- Mr. Ritu Raj, Adv.
- Ms. Shelley Singh, Adv.
- Mr. D.N. Goburdhun, Sr. Adv.
- Mr. Aakarsh Kamra, Adv.
- Ms. Gauri Goburdhun, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

IA No 133365/2022 & IA No 133364/2022

- On 23 September 2022, this Court took note of the fact that the Electoral Officer had by a communication dated 22 September 2022 stated that six candidates stood elected unopposed to the posts of President, Vice President, Secretary, Joint Secretary, Treasurer and Counsellor (District Representative) of the Bihar Cricket Association.
- The Annual General Meeting of the Bihar Cricket Association was to take place on 25 September 2022. The grievance of the applicant was that the electoral college was altered and that there was an amendment to the Constitution of the Bihar Cricket Association without seeking the leave of this Court.
- The submission which was urged on behalf of the applicant by Mr Anupam Lal Das, senior counsel was disputed at that stage by Mr A N S Nadkarni, senior counsel appearing on behalf of the Bihar Cricket Association.
- 4 The AGM was permitted to proceed, but its results were directed to be placed before this Court in a sealed cover.
- The Electoral Officer has placed on the record a copy of his report. The report indicates that the process of the election commenced with the issuance of an election schedule on 28 August 2022. Nominations were called from Full Members/District Cricket Associations between 12 September and 14 September 2022 for nominating their representatives, whose names were to be included in the electoral roll.
- 6 After scrutiny of 41 nominations, the final electoral roll maintaining 32 nominations was published. Nine nominations were received for filing up six

posts of the Committee of Management of the Bihar Cricket Association. After scrutiny, the final list was prepared in terms of which the number of contesting candidates against the posts of President, Vice President, Secretary, Joint Secretary, Treasurer and Counsellor (District Representative) was found to be equal to the number of candidates contesting. Consequently, the election results were notified on the basis of there being no contest.

- During the course of the hearing, Mr Anupam Lal Das, senior counsel has urged the following submissions. At the outset, it has been submitted that the Constitution of the Bihar Cricket Association was altered without seeking the prior leave of this Court. Mr A N S Nadkarni, senior counsel has stated on instructions that the amended Constitution has not been implemented and an Interlocutory Application has been filed before this Court for seeking its leave to implement the proposed amendments. However, according to Mr A N S Nadkarni, senior counsel the elections have taken place on the basis of the unamended Constitution of the Bihar Cricket Association.
- 8 The other submissions which have been urged on behalf of the applicant to challenge the elections are as follows:
 - (i) Firstly, it has been submitted that an Electoral Officer was summoned from Goa whereas, in terms of the Constitution the Electoral Officer has to be from the State of Bihar.
 - (ii) Secondly, it has been submitted that the definition of the expression 'Full Member' was altered so as to include District Associations and local clubs, and though the draft list contained 41 representatives, it was reduced to 32 in the final electoral roll; and
 - (iii) Thirdly, it has been submitted that the candidates who contested for the post of President, Secretary and Treasurer, were not part of the

draft or final electoral roll.

- We are of the considered view that any challenge to the elections which has taken place can be pursued by adopting suitable remedies as are open in law. It is neither appropriate nor proper for this Court to enter into a disputed question by entertaining an Interlocutory Application for reliefs in these proceedings.
- 10 We accordingly grant liberty to the applicant to seek such remedies as are available in law for challenging the outcome of the elections. We clarify that this Court has not expressed any opinion thereon since the applicant has been permitted to pursue the remedies available in law.
- 11 In the above view, it is not expedient in the interest of justice to pursue the exercise of the contempt jurisdiction any further. The contempt proceedings are disposed of.
- 12 The Interlocutory Applications are disposed of.
- 13 In view of the disposal of these proceedings, the Electoral Officer would be at liberty to notify the results and the results of the AGM may be duly published.

(CHETAN KUMAR) A.R.-cum-P.S. (SAROJ KUMARI GAUR) Assistant Registrar