IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF AUGUST, 2022/

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA S.DIXIT

WRIT PETITION NO.16625 OF 2022 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

- 1 . SRI. S.C. MAHESH, S/O LATE CHANNAKESHAVAIAH, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
- 2 . SRI.S.C.RAJANNA S/O LATE CHENNAIAH AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,
- 3 . SRI.SHIVASHANKARA S/O LATE SHIVANNA AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,

ALL ARE RESIDING AT SOMALAPURA VILLAGE, NITTUR HOBLI, GUBBI TALUK, TUMKUR DISTRICT - 572 222.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. H.C.SHIVARAMU, ADVOCATE FOR SRI. NAGARAJAIAH.K, ADVOCATE)

AND:

- 1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, MS. BUILDING, BANGALORE - 560 001.
- 2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TUMKURU DISTRICT, TUMKURU - 572 101.

- 3 . THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TUMAKURU SUB DIVISION, TUMKURU - 572 101.
- 4 . THE THAHASILDAR GUBBI TALUK, GUBBI - 572 116.
- 5. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT RAJ TUMKURU DIST, TUMKURU - 572 101.
- 6. THE PANCHAYATH DEVELOPMENT OFFICER GRAMA PANCHAYATHI, M.N.KOTE, GUBBI TALUK, TUMKUR DISTRICT - 572 222.
- 7 . THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER CHELLUR POLICE STATION, CHELLUR, GUBBI TALUK TUMKUR DISTRICT - 572 117.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. B.V.KPISHNA, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE R-2, 5 AND 6 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER VIDE ANNX-K, K1 AND K2 RESPECTIVELY. AND ETC.

THIS WRIT PETITION COMING ON FOR **PRELIMINARY HEARING** THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

The short grievance of the petitioners regardless of

pleadings is as to non-consideration of their representations

by the Jurisdictional Authorities. Learned counsel for the

petitioners argues that in the said representations some

action at the hands of the authorities is sought for to ensure the free flow of devotees to the temple in question, petitioners being some of them.

2. Learned AGA on request having accepted notice for the official respondents, opposes the petition contending that the dispute is civil in nature and that it can be better worked before the Civil Court. There may not be much dispute with regard to this submission. However, our Constitution vide Article 350 mandates that where a citizen raises a grievance before jurisdictional authorities, it cannot be kept unconsidered indefinitely. In similar matters, this Court has directed consideration of the grievance of the kind and therefore, petitioners cannot be discriminated against.

In the above circumstances, this writ petition is disposed off directing 2nd respondent to consider or cause to be considered the subject representations of the petitioners in accordance with law and inform result of such consideration within a period of four weeks failing which, at

3

the next level of legal battle heavy cost may be imposed on erring officials. All contentions are kept open.

> Sd/-JUDGE

Costs made easy.

DS