

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 15875 OF 2023

K. Raj & Company & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Prakash Shah a/w Mr. Jas Sanghavi a/w Mr. Yash Prakash a/w Mr. Mihir Mehta i/by PDS Legal for the Petitioner.

Ms. Shruti D. Vyas, Additional G.P. a/w Ms. P. N. Diwan for State.

Mr. Shyam Walve i/b Mr. Pratik Karande for Intervenor in IA St. No.34770 of 2023.

Mr. Brijesh Pathak i/b Mr. Aditya Talpade for Intervenor in IA St. 34769 of 2023.

Mr. Prasad P. Surve, Divisional Dy. Commissioner, State Excise, Konkan Division Thane, Present.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI & JITENDRA JAIN, JJ.

DATE : DECEMBER 19, 2023.

ORAL ORDER:

1. This petition assails the seizure of the petitioners goods while they were being transported as cleared from the JNPT, in pursuance of our order dated 4 November 2023 passed on Writ Petition No.12757 of 2023. The seizure at the hands of the respondents has taken place on 11

Kiran Kawre

Page 1 of 10

December 2023 as seen from Exhibit-"A" (page 29) which is the subject

matter of challenge before us.

2. Mr. Shah, learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn our

attention not only to our order which we have noted above, but also, to

the prior order passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court (Nitin Jamdar

& Sarang V. Kotwal, JJ.) on Writ Petition No.2241 of 2021 filed by the

petitioners along with other proceedings dated 13 December 2021, passed

against the present respondents, wherein, this Court had considered the

petitioners case in regard to the petitioners dealing with the goods in

question, namely Ethanol and had held that these goods in no manner fell

under the provisions of the Bombay Prohibition Act, so that the State

Excise Authorities could exercise any jurisdiction. It is overlooking such

clear position in law as held in such proceedings, the impugned seizure

has taken place.

3. We had heard this petition in the morning session. On considering

the orders passed by this Court, we requested Ms. Shruti Vyas, learned

Additional Government pleader to take instructions in regard to the

actions of the respondents as assailed, and accordingly placed the

proceedings, to be called out in the afternoon session.

4. The proceedings were accordingly, called out for hearing in the

second session, for Ms. Vyas to inform the Court the stand, the

Kiran Kawre Page 2 of 10

department would intend to take. However before Ms. Vyas would make

her submission on the instructions, she had received, not only to our

grave surprise but also to the surprise of Ms. Vyas, we find that there are

two intervenors who have appeared before us, one is represented by Mr.

Brijesh Pathak, Advocate who states that he is representing one MSB

Chemical Limited, which is stated to be a company under the Companies

Act 2013, through its Vice President (Operations) Mr. Shailendra Save.

The other intervenor is represented by Mr. Sham Valve, Advocate who

represents Laboratory Solutions India, which is stated to be an HUF

through its Karta Mr. Hemanshu Rach, having its office at Princess street,

Mumbai. The intervention applications are tendered and are taken on

record to be registered. Copies of the same are also handed over to the

advocate for the petitioners.

5. The contentions on behalf of the intervenors as urged before us, in

supporting the seizure is shocking to say the least, namely that the imports

of the ethanol by the petitioner is adversely affecting the intervenors

business interest, as if to contend that, the seizure in question at the hands

of the Excise Officers is at the behest of such persons.

6. We are surprised at such approach of the intervenors as also the

nature of the intervention application which is received in a short span of

two hours, that is after we passed over, the proceedings in the morning

Kiran Kawre Page 3 of 10

session. In this context, to buttress the petitioners' case of an illegal

seizure, we may refer to the additional affidavit and exhibits thereto as

placed on record on behalf of the petitioners, by Mr. Shah, learned

counsel for the petitioners which are newspaper reports, titled as under:

"Free Press Journal dated 12 December 2023 (Exhibit-1).

Navi Mumbai: State Excise seizes 28,000 Litres of illicit Ethanol Misdeclared As Lab Chemicals at Nhava Sheva Port, Exposes Customs

Lapses."

Times of India dated 13 December 2023 (Exhibit-2).

State excise dept seizes 28K litres of ethanol, 1 arrested"

7. The photographs in one of such report (Exhibit-1) reflects the

seizure of the petitioners goods namely of the ethanol boxes, alongwith

the State Excise Officers and other persons, on the background, as if some

contraband is seized by these officers in making a wide publicity of such

seizure. The contents of the newspaper report qua the petitioners also

appear to be quite disparaging. We would wonder as to who could provide

such information, and whether such information is at all correct, and that

too, on the backdrop of the orders passed by this Court permitting the

said goods to be cleared.

8. We also find that there is a newspaper report in the Times of India,

in regard to the seizure of the petitioners goods as permitted to be cleared

by this Court as also clearly referring to the petitioners and recording of

one arrest in such case.

Kiran Kawre Page 4 of 10

9. Now coming to the instructions as received by Ms. Vyas, we would

proceed to record the same. On instructions of Mr. Prasad Surve,

Divisional Dy. Commissioner, State Excise, Konkan Division, Thane, who

is present in the Court Ms. Vyas states that the State Excise Department

does not intend to continue with the seizure of the goods, and that the

vehicle as apprehended and the goods of the petitioners seized on 11

December 2023 would be forthwith released. We accept such statement as

made by Ms. Vyas. We accordingly direct, that the goods (Ethanol)

belonging to the petitioners as seized by the respondents alongwith the

vehicle shall forthwith be released to the petitioners.

10. However, as to what has transpired before the Court in the second

half, namely the surfacing of the intervenors would not permit our

judicial conscience to simplicitor close the proceedings by accepting the

statement as made by Ms. Vyas as noted hereinabove.

11. Considering the materials as placed on record and the stand now

taken on behalf of the department, it appears that insofar as the seizure of

the goods as cleared under our orders passed by the Court are concerned,

petitioners appear to have clearly suffered, not only at the behest of the

respondents on their official accord but at the behest of the vested interest

of private players, at whose instance it prima facie appears to us that the

seizure in question has taken place. The petitioners have suffered at the

Kiran Kawre

Page 5 of 10

hands of the excise department, not only in regard to the illegal detention

of its goods as directed to be cleared by this Court but also in regard to

their market standing and their reputation.

It prima facie appears, from what has been urged before us on 12.

behalf of the intervenors, as also seen from the contents of the newspaper

reports and the wide publicity which has been given to the seizure in

question, labelling the petitioners to have indulged in illegal activities in

dealing with ethanol, that this is clearly at the behest of the petitioners'

competitors.

13. We are thus, of the prima facie opinion, that the concerned Excise

officials involved in the seizure in question, appears to have not acted

bonafide and as the law would mandate them to act. Prima facie they

appear to have abused the powers vested in them under the Bombay

Prohibition Act, in taking such brazen illegal action against the

petitioners, contrary to the orders passed by this Court in permitting

clearance and trading in the goods by declaring that the goods in question

do not fall under the purview of the Bombay Prohibition Act. The

petitioners stand maligned in the eyes of the public, apart from damaging

of the petitioners' business interest and the public image the petitioner

would wield. Such a situation as brought about by the illegal seizure at the

hands of the Excise officers would amount to not only a gross illegality

Kiran Kawre

Page 6 of 10

but bring about a situation of absolute lawlessness in exercise of solemn

public duties by such officials.

14. Once there were orders passed by this Court and the same were

binding, it was not permissible for the State Excise officers that without

verification of all materials, they could resort to such drastic actions as

assailed by the petitioners, merely for the reason that they have been

conferred powers under the Bombay Prohibition Act. When law confers

such drastic powers on the officers, it would also cast an onerous duty, for

such powers to be exercised with great caution and responsibility, and

only in public interest. As noted above in the facts of the case there can be

no reason for the Excise officials to resort to the impugned actions, except

to cater to the private interest of third parties. Any public servant vested

with such serious powers as conferred on them in law, cannot be expected

to abuse such powers.

15. We, accordingly, direct the Additional Chief Secretary, Government

of Maharashtra, to hold an inquiry into the conduct and the role of the

officials who were instrumental in the seizure of the petitioners goods in

question and who were responsible to defeat the orders passed by this

Court. Not only the involvement of all these officers but the involvement

of the private parties at whose behest such seizure was undertaken also

needs to be inquired into, so that the trust and confidence of the traders

Kiran Kawre Page 7 of 10

like the petitioners in the rule of law in undertaking their business

activities, is restored and re-enforced.

16. The Additional Chief Secretary, would also look into the

insinuations as recorded in the newspaper reports and the intricate details

and the allegation they contain against the petitioners, merely because the

petitioners were dealing with the goods in question, that too as permitted

under the orders of this Court. This more particularly as observed by this

Court that the petitioner is dealing in such goods since last 50 years.

17. We cannot countenance the officers of the Excise Department

acting in such illegal and highhanded manner. There are rules and

regulations pertaining to seizure, they cannot be a party to any vilification

campaign and to damage the business standing and reputation of the

traders, in the manner in which the concerned Excise Officials have

resorted in the present case, as if the petitioners' case was an established

case of the petitioners dealing with any contraband. It was certainly most

objectionable for the officers to pose themselves in photographs in

projecting that the petitioners were dealing in prohibited goods. This is

certainly neither a part of their duties, nor permissible under the Bombay

Prohibition Act or under the Rules under which they were supposed to

act.

Kiran Kawre

Page 8 of 10

18. Thus, when it was a clear case that the goods in question were not

prohibited goods and which were being dealt by the petitioners lawfully,

the Excise Officials disregarding all canons of law, could not have painted

the petitioners as some criminals.

19. The above facts raises a very serious concerns in regard to the

actions of the concerned Excise officials which, in our prima facie

opinion, are worrisome to the administration apart from being serious. If

as to what we have expressed, even to a limited extent is true and correct,

we would doubt whether the concerned officers are even fit to be called

as public servants and continue in Government service. In our opinion,

any highhanded and illegal approach on the part of such officials,

infringing the fundamental rights of the citizens is required to be

enquired and taken to the logical conclusion by holding an appropriate

inquiry as the law would mandate.

20. In the event, the Additional Chief Secretary is of the opinion that

there is sufficient material in regard to the involvement of the concerned

officials, the Additional Chief Secretary shall issue necessary orders to

initiate such appropriate actions, civil and criminal, against the concerned

officials as the law may mandate.

Page 9 of 10

Kiran Kawre

21. Let the inquiry to be held by the Additional Chief Secretary be

completed within a period of three weeks from today and the report of the

inquiry be placed before this Court on the adjourned date of hearing.

22. Needless to observe that at the interim stage of the inquiry, in the

event, there is a prima facie material to proceed against any of the

concerned officials departmentally, the Additional Chief Secretary may

move the State Government to pass appropriate orders, even to suspend

such officials pending inquiry. It would be permissible to the Additional

Chief Secretary to record the statements of any person including that of

the petitioners, if so necessary. Any statement as may be recorded shall

form part of the report to be filed before this Court.

23. The goods shall be released without the department waiting for a

copy of this order, as the officer concerned is present before the Court.

24. The substantive prayers in the petition would not require any

further adjudication in view of the statement as made on behalf of the

respondents in regard to release of the petitioners' goods.

25. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

26. For compliance, list the proceedings on **9 January 2024 (HOB)**.

[JITENDRA JAIN, J.]

[G. S. KULKARNI, J.]

Kiran Kawre

Page 10 of 10