



\$~1 & 2

+

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ ARB.P. 331/2020

SMT. MANJU GUPTA & ORS. Petitioners

Through: Mr. S.D. Singh, Mr. Kamla Prasad,

Mrs. Meenu Singh, Mr. Siddharth

Singh Advs. (M. 9958969007).

versus

SHRI VILAS GUPTA & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Ankit Singal & Mr. Samyak

Jain, Advs for R-4 - R-6 (M.

9899914370).

2 AND

ARB.P. 773/2022

SMT MANJU GUPTA Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.D. Singh, Mr. Kamla Prasad,

Mrs. Meenu Singh, Mr. Siddharth

Singh Advs.

versus

SMT MEENU SINGHAL Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam Goel, Ms. Ramya S

Goel, and Mr. Akash Katiyar, Advs

(M. 9871339314).

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

% 05.02.2024

- 1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
- 2. The present two petitions are filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter, 'the Act'). The dispute in the said matters has arisen as per clause 3.15 of the Memorandum of Family Settlement (hereinafter, 'MFS'). There are two issues, which are agitated in these two petitions i.e., -





- (i) whether the petitions have to be treated as two petitions or one proceeding, for the purpose of arbitral proceedings; and
- (ii) in respect of refund of the fee which has been paid to the Arbitrator previously appointed;
- 3. After hearing the matter on the last date, the Court had directed as under:-
 - "4. The Court notices that the Respondent Nos.l to 3 are not represented. The Court would require a complete statement of the entire fee, which has been paid to the Arbitrator and pursuant to which order. Let a joint statement be filed by the parties in respect of the following aspects.
 - (i) Procedural Orders, directing the amount of fee to be deposited to the Arbitrator; and
 - (ii) the actual amount deposited by each of the parties.
- 4. The petitions were heard and vide judgment dated 22nd January, 2021, and on 6th March, 2023 the disputes were referred to a ld. Sole Arbitrator.
- 5. The ld. Arbitrator entered reference on 12th February, 2021 and on 19th February, 2021 the ld. Arbitrator directed the parties to deposit Rs.5,00,000/- each.
- 6. Thereafter, the ld. Sole Arbitrator has passed some procedural orders.
- 7. The ld. Arbitrator has also decided a Section 17 application under the Act on 15th March, 2021. Subsequently, further procedural orders have been passed on 19th April, 2021, 22nd June, 2021 and 20th August, 2021, 20th September, 2021, 25th October, 2021, 24th November, 2021 and 23rd December, 2021.





- 8. On 23rd December, 2021, the ld. Arbitrator also decided an application for impleadment.
- 9. Again, on 5th February, 2022, another procedural order was passed.
- 10. In the meantime, an appeal was filed for impleadment and arbitral proceedings remain stayed on 3rd March, 2022 till 24th July, 2023.
- 11. On 5th September, 2023, the ld. Arbitrator resigned. By the said date, the total amount which was deposited was Rs.14,50,000/-. Though, the directions were given for deposit of total of Rs.20,00,000/- by the ld. Arbitrator.
- 12. The resignation was sent by the ld. Arbitrator to the High Court of Delhi directly which led to the appointment of the new Arbitrator. The said Arbitrator entered reference vide order dated 13th September, 2023. The parties, at this stage, therefore seek refund of the fee paid to the ld. Arbitrator.
- 13. Ld. Counsel for the Petitioners and Respondents have made their respective submissions. The only relief they seek is for refund of some part of the fees paid to the ld. Arbitrator.
- 14. Ld. Counsel for the Petitioners submits that except two applications over the period of 12 months only some procedural orders have been passed. On behalf of the Respondents it is submitted that though Rs. 20 lakhs were directed, Rs. 14.5 lakhs has been deposited. One application under Section 16 of the Act and two applications for impleadment were heard and decided. The proceedings were at an initial stage, when the ld. Arbitrator has resigned. Both Counsels submit that since the parties have to now pay a new arbitrator and they are individual litigants, they have enormous hardship as a





substantial sum has been paid and fresh round of fees would have to be paid once again.

15. The Court has heard the parties. Issues concerning fees of ld. Arbitrators has been raised from time to time leading to the introduction of the Fourth Schedule of the Act. A perusal of the fourth schedule of the Arbitration Act shows that w.e.f. 2018, the fee that is payable to Arbitrator is as under:-

[THE FOURTH SCHEDULE [See section 11(14)]

| Sum in dispute | Model fee |
|---|--|
| Up to Rs. 5,00,000 | Rs. 45,000 |
| Above Rs. 5,00,000 and up to Rs. 20,00,000 | Rs. 45,000 plus 3.5 per cent. of the claim amount over and above Rs. 5,00,000 |
| Above Rs. 20,00,000 and up to Rs. 1,00,00,000 | Rs. 97,500 plus 3 per cent. of the claim amount over and above Rs. 20,00,000 |
| Above Rs. 1,00,00,000 and up to Rs. 10,00,00,000 | Rs. 3,37,500 plus 1 per cent. of the claim amount over and above Rs. 1,00,00,000 |
| Above Rs. 10,00,00,000 and up to Rs. 20,00,00,000 | Rs. 12,37,500 plus 0.75 per cent. of the claim amount over and above Rs. 1,00,00,000 |
| Above Rs. 20,00,00,000 | Rs. 19,87,500 plus 0.5 per cent. of the claim amount over and above Rs. 20,00,00,000 with a ceiling of Rs. 30,00,000 |

Note: — In the event, the arbitral tribunal is a sole arbitrator, he shall be entitled to an additional amount of twenty-five per





cent. on the fee payable as per the table set out above.

- 16. Thus the maximum fees payable to an arbitrator is Rs. 30 lakhs. In the present case the quantification of the assets under the Family settlement has not been done. Thus, the Court is actually considering the highest fees payable under the Act as the standard to be adopted for the purposes of this order.
- 17. In addition, a reference is also made to the Rules of the Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC) which prescribes the stages at which the amounts ought to be paid to the ld. Arbitrator as under:-

SCHEDULE F Rule for Release of fee of Arbitrator (Introduced vide minutes dated 26.10.2020)

Fees shall be disbursed stage-wise to the Arbitral Tribunal in the following manner:

| Sr. | Stage of the case | Fee payable |
|------|---|-----------------------|
| Nos. | | |
| 1 | Upon Framing of issues or disposal of application under Section 16 or 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996, whichever is earlier | 20% of the total fees |
| 2 | Upon completion of Claimant's Evidence (on merits of dispute). | 20% of the total fees |
| 3 | Upon completion of Respondent's Evidence (on merits of the dispute). | 20% of the total fees |
| 4 | After passing of the Award | 40% of the total fees |





NOTE:

- 1) The aforesaid fee schedule shall also apply to following cases:
 - a) Matters stayed or adjourned sine die or pending before the NCLT or like authorities.
 - *b)* Recusal by the Arbitrator(s).
 - c) Demise of the Arbitrator(s) (Fees will be paid to his/her legal heirs).
 - d) Proceedings terminated or withdrawn.
 - e) Termination of the mandate of the Arbitrator(s) by efflux of time as provided in the Act.
 - 2) In case of occurrence of any of the events mentioned in point 1) prior to framing of issues, it shall be the discretion of the Chairperson of the Committee to determine the fees payable to the Arbitrator.
 - In cases decided on preliminary issue, it shall be the discretion of the Chairperson of the Committee to release such fees of the Arbitrator as may be deemed appropriate having regard to factors, which may include nature of the claim, number of hearings, etc.
 - 4) If the application under Section 16 is allowed by the Arbitrator subsequent to framing of issues, then the Arbitrator shall be entitled to the fees as payable up to that stage.
- 5) It is clarified that each slab in the above table shall apply only upon conclusion of the relevant stage and in case a particular stage is not concluded, the previous slab shall apply.
- 6) Termination of proceedings on settlement between the parties In case of settlement between the parties to a dispute, the Arbitral Tribunal shall be paid minimum of 1/3rd of the total fees if such settlement is arrived at before conclusion of the claimant's evidence. If the settlement is arrived at any subsequent stage, the fees of the Arbitral Tribunal shall be paid as per the above Schedule depending on the stage at which the settlement is arrived at
- 7) Notwithstanding this Schedule, it shall be the discretion of the Chairperson of the Committee to fix/revise the fees





payable to the Arbitrator on case to case basis.

- 18. Though, strictly the present arbitration would not be covered by the DIAC Rules in terms of the reference which was made by the Court, ld. Counsel for the Petitioner submit that considering that only some procedural orders have been passed and three applications have been decided, a substantial sum has already been paid to the ld. Arbitrator. The reference order dated 22nd January, 2021 clearly records in paragraph 34 which reads as under:
 - 34. The learned Arbitrator will be entitled to fees in accordance with the Fourth Schedule to the 1996 Act.
- 19. The total fees directed and paid by the parties is as under:

| (i) The deposits made by the | |
|---|--|
| claimants | (ii) on 19.07.2021 Rs. 4,00,000/- |
| 10 | (iii) on 24.12.2021 Rs. 2,50,000/- |
| Total amount deposited by the claimants | Rs. 7,50,000/- |
| (2) Deposits made by the | (i) on or before 15.03.2021 Rs. 2,50,000/- |
| respondents as per the information to the claimants | (ii) on 25.08.2021 Rs. 1,25,000/- |
| | (iii) on 22.09.2021 Rs. 1,00,000/- |
| | (iv) on 14.02.2022 Rs. 1,25,000/- |
| | (v) on 03.03.2022 Rs. 1,00,000/- |
| As per the information to the | Rs.7,00,000/- |
| claimants total amount paid by | ≝ |
| the respondents | |
| Total amount paid to the Learned | Rs. 14,50,000/- |
| Arbitrator by both the parties | (Rupees Fourteen Lakhs Fifty Thousand |
| | only) |

20. The Court has perused the various orders passed by the ld. Arbitrator. Between the period January, 2021 till February, 2022, there have been a

COURT OF OR



total number of twelve hearings, out of which, three orders are substantive in nature. The issues were yet to be framed in the matter and the arbitral proceedings itself remained stayed for more than a year. At that stage, the ld.

Arbitrator has resigned.

21. Considering this position and the amount of fee that has been paid,

this Court is of the opinion that the amount that has been deposited is

substantial. The parties are individual litigants and it is a suit for partition,

basically seeking implementation of a memorandum of family settlement.

22. Under these circumstances, with the consent of the parties, the fee of

the ld. Arbitrator is fixed at Rs.7,50,000/-. In addition, the ld. Arbitrator may

charge a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- for administrative expenses and other

expenses.

23. Thus, the remaining amount out of Rs.14,50,000/- is liable to be

refunded to the parties. Accordingly, a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- be deposited

with the worthy Registrar General within four weeks. The same would

thereafter be released to both the parties i.e., Rs.3,00,000/- each to the

Petitioners and Respondents.

24. Petitions are disposed of with all pending applications, if any. Copy of

this order be communicated to the ld. Arbitrator by the Registry.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

FEBRUARY 05, 2024

mr/bh