ITEM NO.1 Court 12 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION PIL-W

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Miscellaneous Application No. 1805/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 539/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30-06-2021 in W.P.(C) No. No. 539/2021 passed by the Supreme Court Of India)

GAURAV KUMAR BANSAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION.

IA No. 147377/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION

IA No. 146330/2021 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION

IA No. 146331/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT

IA No. 146332/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date: 04-02-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

For Petitioner(s) Petitioner-in-person

Mr. Pranjal Kishore, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Jain-1, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG

Mr. K. M. Nataraj, ASG

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG

Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sughosh Subramanyam, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kr. Sharma, AOR

Mr. G.S. Makker, AOR

Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

Ms. Manisha Lavkumar, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR

Mr. R. Basant, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR

Mr. Polanki Gowtham, Adv.

Mr. Shaik Mohamad Haneef, Adv.

Mr. T. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Girish Chowdary, Adv.

Ms. Rajeswari Mukherjee, Adv.

- 2 -

Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR

Mr. Ankit Agarwal, Adv.

Ms. Ragini Pandey Adv.

Mr. Arun R. Pednekar, Adv.

Ms. Mukti Chowdhary, AOR

Mr. Anil Grover, Sr. AAG

Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kjumar Visen, AOR

Mr. Bhawar Jadon, Adv.

Ms. Adira A. Nair, Adv.

Ms. Babita Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Amit Gupta, Adv.,

Mr. Sanjeev Prakash Upadhayay, Adv.

Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR

Mr. Darpan KM., Adv.

Ms. Amrita Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Rajat Jonathan Shaw, Adv.

Mr. D.K. Devesh, AOR

Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.

Mr. Vaibhav Sabharwal, Adv.

Ms. Neha Jain, Adv.

Mr. G.M. Kawoosa, Adv.

Ms. Taruna Ardhendumauli Prasad, AOR

Mr. Saurabh Mishra AAG

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Chitnis, Adv

Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR

Mr. Aaditya A. Pande, Adv.

Mr. Geo Joseph, Adv.

Ms. Shwetal Shepal, Adv.

Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv

Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv

Ms. Manya Hasija, Adv

Ms. Pragya Barsaiyan, Adv

Mr. Akash Singh, Adv

Mr. Nirnimish Dube, AOR

Mr. Joby P. Varghese, AOR

Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR

- 3 -

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Arpit Parkash, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Jha, AOR

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, AAG

Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, AOR

Mr. Wrick Chatterjee, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Verma, AAG

Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, AOR

Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv.

Mr. Raghent Basant, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Parikshith, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Manchanda, Adv.

Mr. Sayandeep Paharii, Adv.

for M/s. PLR Chambers And Co., AOR

Mr. Shubham Bhalla, AOR

Mr. Yajur Bhalla, Adv.

Mr. Ashish Vajpayee, Adv.

Mr. Deepak Samota, Adv.

Mr. Sumeir Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Aravindh S., AOR

Ms. C. Rubavathi, Adv.

Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, AOR

Ms. Sweena Nair, Adv.

Mr. P. Mohith Rao, Adv.

Mr. Krishan Raj Thaker, AAG

Ms. Pragya Baghel, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Roy, Adv.

Ms. Tulika Mukherjee, AOR

Ms. Aastha Shrestha, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR

Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.

Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, AAG

Mr. D. Kumanan, AOR

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR

Mr. Amandeep Mehta, Adv.

- 4 -

Mr. Vivek Kohli, Adv. Gen.

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR

Ms. Yeshi Rinchhen, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Nishi Sangatani, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR

Mrs. Bihu Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Pratishta Vij, Adv.

Mr. Akshay Shrivastava, Adv.

Mr. Nishe Rajan Shonker, AOR

Ms. Anu K. Joy, Adv.

Mr. Alim Anvar, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR

Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR

Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.

Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Md. Apzal Ansari, Adv.

Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Mr. Kabir Shankar Bose, Adv.

Mr. Ishaan Borthakur, Adv.

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, AOR

Mr. Susheel Joseph Cyriac, Adv.

Ms. Uditha Chakravarthy, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Despite our earlier order directing all the State Governments to give full particulars of the deaths due to Covid-19 registered with their portal and the number of persons to whom the

contd..

ex-gratia payment is made, it appears that most of the State Governments have given only Statistics and no full particulars are given to the respective State Legal Services Authorities. object and purpose of our earlier order was to aive full particulars and to see that at least with respect to those cases which are registered with the State Governments, if they have not approached the appropriate authority for compensation, concerned Legal Services Authority will reach to them and see to it that they make an application and they act as a facilitator and as a bridge between the victim and State Authorities, as an ombudsman. Similarly, the particulars with respect to the orphans are also not direct all the State Governments to give full particulars including the name, address, death certificate etc. and to whom compensation is already paid to the concerned State Legal Services Authority including the particulars with respect to the orphans within a period of one week from today failing which the matter shall be viewed very seriously. We also direct the concerned State Governments to appoint a dedicated officer, not below the rank of Deputy Secretary in the Chief Minister Secretariat as a Nodal Officer, who should be in constant touch with the Member Secretary of the concerned State Legal Services Authority so that Member Secretary of the concerned State Legal Services the Authority may coordinate with him and see to it that applications are received from the eligible persons. The endeavour of the Legal Services Authority would be to reach to all those

sufferers/victims who could not yet approach for whatever reason. As and when such particulars are given, on verification, if the Member Secretary finds that out of the deaths registered some of kin/family members of those persons are yet compensation, the Member Secretary of the concerned State Legal Services Authority to reach to them through the Secretary, District Legal Services Authority/Secretary, Taluka Legal Services Authority through the para legal volunteers. Their function would be to facilitate those who could not approach for getting compensation to make an application and to see to it that they get the compensation. Their role shall be as an ombudsman as a bridge between the victim and the Government. If there is any difficulty found by the Member Secretary, the State Legal Services Authority, they may immediately approach the concerned Nodal Officer who is to be appointed as observed hereinabove and all are directed to cooperate the Member Secretary, the State Legal Services Authority in the above process.

From the report which is submitted, it appears that in many of the States high number of applications are rejected. We again reiterate and direct that the applications shall not be rejected on the technical ground and if it is found that there is some technical glitch, the concerned State Governments/Authorities must give them one opportunity to cure the defects as the ultimate goal of the concerned State as a welfare State is to provide some solace and compensation to the concerned victim/family.

From the report submitted, it appears that so far as State of contd..

Maharashtra is concerned, 61,848 applications are rejected out of 2,27,107 applications/claims received. It is also reported that so far as State of Maharashtra is concerned, some applications are rejected on the ground that they are filed off-line. Rejection of the claim on the aforesaid ground is deprecated and it is in the teeth of the order passed by this Court earlier. All the State Governments have to consider the applications received on merits whether they are filed off-line or online. There shall not be any rejection of any claim on the ground that the same has been filed So, wherever claims are rejected more particularly in the State of Maharashtra on the ground that they are filed offline, the State Government is directed to review the decision within a period of one week from today and process applications and pay the compensation to them on merits. The State Maharashtra is directed to give full particulars of the claims/applications rejected along with the reasons to the Member Secretary, Legal Services Authority, Maharashtra within a period of one week from today who will scrutinize and consider the grounds on which the applications are rejected and if it is found that they are rejected on technical ground, he may in consultation with the Nodal Officer to be appointed, as observed hereinabove and see to it that those persons are given opportunity to rectify the mistake so that ultimately they can be paid the ex-gratia compensation by re-considering their applications.

Put up on 07.03.2022.

- 8 -

In the meantime, the State Governments should make all endeavour to pay the compensation maximum within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of the claims.

Registry is directed to communicate this order to all the State Legal Services Authorities for their information.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NISHA TRIPATHI) BRANCH OFFICER