WWW.LIVELAW.IN

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

## CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 984 OF 2021

(@ OUT OF SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL.) NO. 4703/2020)

**GEORGE MANGALAPILLY** 

Appellant(s)

**VERSUS** 

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

## ORDER

Leave granted.

This appeal challenges the judgment and order dated 27-08-2020 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Principal Seat at Jabalpur in MCRC No.20085/2020 .

The appellant is alleged to have committed offences punishable under Sections 153(B)(1) and 295-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short) and Sections 3 and 4 of the M.P. Freedom of Religion Act, 1968 ["the Act" for short]. He is being tried in Case No.161 of 2019 (RCT No.704 of 2019) in the Court of JMFC, Satna.

According to the prosecution, the appellant had converted one Dharmendar Dohar, s/o Mr. Raghunath Dohar to Christianity in violation of Section 3 of the Act and thereby committed the aforesaid offences.

In the trial, said Dharmendar Dohar in his examination-in chief denied that he was converted by the appellant. As a matter of fact, the witness went on to state that his

# WWW.LIVELAW.IN

signatures were obtained on a piece of paper by certain persons, on the basis of which the prosecution was launched against the appellant.

The witness was declared hostile and was extensively cross-examined by the Public Prosecutor.

Thus the version of the witness was that he had not filed any report on the basis of which the prosecution was initiated against the appellant.

It must be stated here that the witness was produced before the Judicial Magistrate at the initial stage and his statement under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure ("the Code" for short) was recorded.

Said statement under Section 164 of the Code and the version given by the witness while in the box are identical.

Apart from the testimony of the witness, there is nothing else on record which could potentially be relied upon against the appellant.

After examination of said witness, the appellant preferred aforestated MCRC No. 20085/2020 under Section 482 of the Code seeking quashing of the proceedings.

The submission advanced on behalf of the appellant was accepted by the High Court in so far as the case pertained to the offences punishable under Sections 153-B(1) and 295-A IPC. The High Court was of the view that in the absence of requisite sanction, the appellant could not be prosecuted in respect of said offences. However, with regard to the offences punishable under Section 3 & 4 of the Act, it was observed by the High

WWW.LIVELAW.IN

Court that the evidence led before the trial court could not be relied upon to grant any benefit in terms of Section 482 of the

Code.

Heard Mr. K.V. Viswanathan, learned Senior Advocate for

the appellant and Mr. Mukul Singh, learned advocate for the

State.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and

especially when the entire fulcrum of the prosecution rests

upon the version of the man who was stated to be forcibly

converted, in our view, the testimony of said person assumes

great significance. According to his own version neither was he

forcibly converted nor had the appellant contacted him at any

juncture.

In view of these peculiar circumstances, in our view, the

appellant is entitled to the relief prayed for. We therefore,

allow this appeal, set aside the order passed by the High Court

and quash the proceedings against the appellant in respect of

offence punishable under Section 3 & 4 of the Act.

The appeal is allowed in aforesaid terms.

.....J.
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]

.....J

[C.T. RAVIKUMAR]

New Delhi; September 13, 2021.

LL 2021 SC 473

ITEM NO.14 Court 2 (Video Conferencing) SECTION II-A

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA **RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 4703/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-08-2020 in MCRC No. 20085/2020 passed by the High Court Of M.p Principal Seat At Jabalpur)

**GEORGE MANGALAPILLY** 

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 99006/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED **JUDGMENT** 

IA No. 99007/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date: 13-09-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. K V Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.

> Mr. M J Michael, Adv. Mr. Ajit Pudussery, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mukul Singh, Dy. AG

> Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Shreyash Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Nishant Verma, Adv.

Ms. Mamta Shrivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(INDU MARWAH) COURT MASTER (SH) (VIRENDER SINGH) **BRANCH OFFICER** 

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)