IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

<u>Miscellaneous Application No. 2171 of 2020</u> In <u>Civil Appeal No. 1567 of 2017</u>

Siddaraju

... Appellant(s)

Versus

The State of Karnataka & Ors.

... Respondent(s)

<u>With</u>

Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 680/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017 Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 282/2021 in C.A. No. 1567/2017 C.A. No. 7147/2019 Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 678/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017 Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 679/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017 Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 686/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017

Miscellaneous Application No. 2171 of 2020 In Civil Appeal No. 1567 of 2017

1. The correctness of the judgment of this Court in Rajeev Kumar

Gupta & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors.¹ was raised by Union of

India before a Division Bench of this Court. In Rajeev Kumar

Gupta & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors. (supra), this Court held that persons with disabilities are entitled for reservation in

promotions. The matter was referred to a larger Bench for

considering the issues raised by the Union of India. After a detailed consideration of the judgments of this Court in **Union**

^{1 (2016) 13} SCC 153

of India & Anr. v. National Federation of the Blind & Ors.², National Federation of the Blind v. Sanjay Kothari, Secretary Department of Personnel and Training³ and Rajeev Kumar Gupta & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors. (supra), this Court answered the reference on 14.01.2020. The submission on behalf of the Union of India that Rajeev Kumar Gupta & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors. (supra) warrants reconsideration was rejected. This Court held that the Union and the State Governments are bound by the judgments of this Court in Union of India & Anr. v. National Federation of the Blind & Ors. (supra), National Federation of the Blind v. Sanjay Kothari, Secretary Department of Personnel and Training (supra) and Rajeev Kumar Gupta & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors. (supra).

2. This Miscellaneous Application has been filed by the Union of India for clarification of the judgment dated 14.01.2020. Notice was issued on 12.01.2021 and the Union of India was directed to submit a written note identifying the points on which clarification was sought. Pursuant to the said direction, a written note was filed by Ms. Madhavi Divan, learned Additional Solicitor General seeking clarification on the following four issues:

a. whether the vacancies for promotion for PwDs would be computed only on the basis of the vacancies against the

^{2 (2013) 10} SCC 772 3³ (2015) 9 SCALE 611

identified posts or against the vacancies in both identified

A or up to the lowest rung of Group-A.

b. whether reservation can be given to PwDs at the time of induction from SCS/Non-SCS to IAS. c. whether the intention of the judgment is to grant

reservation in promotion beyond the lowest rung of Group-

No.1567 of 2017, titled Siddaraju v. State of Karnataka

& Ors. along with its tagged cases needs to be

implemented on the basis of the Persons with Disabilities

(Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full

Participation) Act, 1995, (based on which the case was

filed) or the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016

(the latest Act applicable on the date of judgment).

It was submitted by the learned Additional Solicitor General that

reservation in promotion shall be in accordance with such

instructions that are issued by the appropriate Government from

time to time as provided in Section 34 of the Rights of Persons

with Disabilities Act, 2016. As implementation of the three

judgments mentioned above has given rise to some doubts, it is

necessary that the points raised by the Union of India be

answered and clarification be given to enable the Union of India

3.

d. whether the judgment dated 14.01.2020 in Civil Appeal

and non-identified posts.

Ms. Jayna Kothari, learned Senior Counsel and Mr. Rajan Mani, 4. learned counsel opposed the submissions made by the learned

to proceed with issuing instructions.

3

Additional Solicitor General by contending that there is no necessity for any clarification of the judgments of this Court referred to above. They have raised a grievance that the delay in issuing the instructions under the proviso to Section 34 resulted in stalling the implementation of reservation in promotion for persons with disabilities.

- 5. Having examined the judgments of this Court and the submissions made on behalf of the parties, we are of the opinion that there is no ambiguity in the judgments which warrants any clarification. The Union of India is directed to issue instructions regarding reservation in promotion as provided in Section 34 of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 within a period of four months from today.
- 6. Miscellaneous Application stands disposed of accordingly.

<u>Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 680/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017</u> <u>Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 282/2021 in C.A. No. 1567/2017</u> <u>C.A. No. 7147/2019</u> <u>Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 678/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017</u> <u>Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 679/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017</u> <u>Conmt. Pet. (C) No. 686/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017</u>

List on 16th November, 2021 as part-heard.

[L. NAGESWARA RAO]

[SANJIV KHANNA]

.....J. [B.R. GAVAI]

New Delhi September 28, 2021.

LL 2021 SC 527

ITEM NO.1 Court 5 (Video Conferencing) SECTION IV-A

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Miscellaneous Application No. 2171/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-01-2020 in C.A. No. No. 1567/2017 passed by the Supreme Court of India)

SIDDARAJU

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 98134/2020 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

WITH

<u>CONMT.PET.(C) No. 680/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017</u> (IV-A) (FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING PAPER BOOKS ON IA 118106/2020 FOR APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION ON IA 53240/2021 IA No. 53240/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION IA No. 118106/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING PAPER BOOKS)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 282/2021 in C.A. No. 1567/2017 (IV-A) (FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING PAPER BOOKS ON IA 48469/2021 IA No. 48469/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING PAPER BOOKS)

<u>C.A. No. 7147/2019 (IV)</u>

<u>CONMT.PET.(C) No. 678/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017</u> (IV-A) (FOR APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION ON IA 50712/2021 IA No. 50712/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 679/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/2017 (IV-A) (FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 118374/2020 FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES ON IA 48782/2021 FOR DELETING THE NAME OF PETITIONER/RESPONDENT ON IA 48786/2021 FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 48789/2021 FOR INTERVENTION APPLICATION ON IA 111860/2021 FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT ON IA 111862/2021 IA NO. 48786/2021 - DELETING THE NAME OF PETITIONER/RESPONDENT IA NO. 111862/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT IA NO. 11862/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. IA NO. 118374/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

5

IA NO. 111860/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION IA NO. 48782/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

<u>CONMT.PET.(C) No. 686/2020 in C.A. No. 1567/201</u>7 (IV-A) (FOR AMENDMENT IN CAUSE TITLE ON IA 40062/2021 FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS ON IA 45546/2021 IA No. 40062/2021 - AMENDMENT IN CAUSE TITLE IA No. 45546/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date : 28-09-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Malini Poduval, AOR Ms. Babita Sant, Adv.

Mrs. Madhvi Divan, Ld. ASG Mr. Rajan Kr. Chourasia, Adv. Ms. Vaishali Sharma, Adv. Mr. Sanjai Kumar Tyagi, Adv. Mr. Sachin Sharma, Adv. Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Prashant Sharma, Adv. Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Kuriakose Varghese, Adv. Mr. V. Shyamohan, Adv. Mr. Surya Prakash, Adv. Mr. Sudeep Aravind Panicker, Adv. Ms. Sohini Chowdhury, Adv. M/S. Kmnp Law Aor, AOR

Ms. Jayna Kothari, Sr.Adv. Ms. Rukhsana Choudhury, AOR

Mr. Abhinav Ramkrishna, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR Mr. Md.Apzal Ansari, Adv.

> Mrinal Gopal Elker, AOR Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, AOR

Mr. G. Prakash, AOR

6

LL 2021 SC 527

Ms. Priyanka Prakash, Adv. Ms. Beena Prakash, adv. Mr. Sudarshan Rajan, AOR Mr. Vardhman Kaushik , AOR Mr. R.C. Mishra, Sr. Adv. Mr. Ilin Saraswat, Adv. Mr. Ananya Mishra, Adv. Mr. Mahendra Kumar, Adv. Mr. Rajnish Kumar Jha, AOR Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, AOR Mr. Shashank Singh, AOR Mr. Rajan Mani, Adv. Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR Ms. Ritu Kumar, Adv. Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. 2171 OF 2020 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1567/2017

Miscellaneous Application stands disposed of in

terms of Signed Order.

Conmt. I	Pet.	(C)	No.	680/2020	in	C.A.	No.	1567/2017
Conmt. I	Pet.	(C)	No.	282/2021	in	C.A.	No.	1567/2017
C.A. No. 7147/2019								
Conmt. I	Pet.	(C)	No.	678/2020	in	C.A.	No.	<u>1567/2017</u>
Conmt. I	Pet.	(C)	No.	679/2020	in	C.A.	No.	1567/2017
								1567/2017

List on 16th November, 2021 as part-heard.

(SONIA BHASIN) COURT MASTER (SH) (ANAND PRAKASH) BRANCH OFFICER

LL 2021 SC 527