IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.A. No. 805 of 2021 and batch of Writ Appeals

1.	W.A. No. 805 of 2021		
	State of Odisha and Another		
		Oas, Addl. St	Appellants Govt. Advocate anding Counsel
	Joseph Barik		Respondent None
2.	W.A. No.490 o	f 2021	
	State of Odisha and another	C /	Appellants
			Govt. Advocate anding Counsel
3.	Saishaba Kumar Mandal and another W.A. No. 935	*	Respondents None
	State of Odisha		Appellant
	Mr. A.P. Da	as, Addl. Sta	nding Counsel
	B. Nareen Kumar Reddy and Others		Respondents None
4.	W.A. No.943 of 202	1	
	State of Odisha	••••	Appellant
	Mr. M.K. Khu	ntia, Addl. C	Sovt. Advocate
	-versus- Nikhil Mohan Bastia		Respondent

5.	W.A. No.286 of 2022		
	State of Odisha and Others		Appellants
	Mr. A.Pversus-	Das, Addl. St	anding Counsel
	Chittaranjan Jena		Respondent None
6.	W.A. No. 659 of	f 2022	
	State of Odisha and Others		Appellants
	Mr. A.P.	Das, Addl. St	anding Counsel
	-versus-		
	Ranjan Kumar Mohanty	/ ,	Respondent
		100	None
7.	W.A. No. 681	of 2022	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. M.K. Kł	nuntia, Addl. (Govt. Advocate
	Sashi Sekhar Behera	*/	Respondent None
8.	W.A. No. 683 of	f 2022	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. M.K. Kł -versus-	nuntia, Addl. (Govt. Advocate
	Rabindranath Gochhayat		Respondent
9.	W.A. No. 685	of 2022	None
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	·	nuntia, Addl. (Govt. Advocate
	-versus-		Rasnandant
	Sangram Keshari Mohanty	••••	Respondent None

10.	W.A. No. 691 of 2022	
	State of Odisha and Another	Appellants
	Mr. Rabi Narayan N -versus-	Mishra, Addl. Govt. Advocate
	Alekh Charan Sahoo	Respondent None
11.	W.A. No. 831	of 2022
	-vers	<i>Appellants</i> nuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate us-
	Dilip Kumar Patra and Another	Respondents None
12.	W.A. No.1042 State of Odisha and another	
	00000	Appellants nuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate
13.	Ramesh Chandra Mishra Mr. Sa W.A. No.110	Respondent arada Prasad Dash, Advocate 7 of 2022
	State of Odisha and another	Appellants
	Mr. M.K. Kł	nuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate
	Pratap Keshri Malla	Respondent None
14.	W.A. No.112	7 of 2022
	State of Odisha and another	Appellant
	Mr. M.K. Kl -versus-	nuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate
	Bedambar Behera M	<i>Respondent</i> Ir. Bigyan Sharma, Advocate

15.	W.A. No. 1223 of 2022		
	State of Odisha and Others	Appellants	
	Mr. M.K.Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advo		
		versus-	
	Sisir Ranjan Prusty	Respondent Mr. T.K. Biswal, Advocate	
16.	W.A. No.1	232 of 2022	
	State of Odisha and another	Appellants	
		M.K.Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate	
	Amulya Kumar Tripathy	Respondent Mr. Tushar Kanta Nayak, Advocate	
	(H)		
17.		239 of 2022	
	State of Odisha and another	Appellants	
	Mr. I	M.K.Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate	
	Chickin V D	-versus-	
	Shishir Kumar Das	Respondent None	
18.	W.A. No	. 1272 of 2022	
	State of Odisha and Others	SSP Appellants	
		M.K. Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate persus-	
	Manas Ranjan Sahoo	Respondent None	
19.	W.A. No. 1314 of 2022		
	State of Odisha and Another	Appellants	
	Mr. M.K. Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate -versus-		
	Prasanta Kumar Das	Respondent Mr. Bigyan Sharma, Advocate	

20.	W.A. No.1346 of 2022		
	State of Odisha and another		Appellants
	Mr. A.P. Das	s, Addl. Sta	anding Counsel
	Umakanta Biswal		Respondent None
21.	W.A. No.1347 of 2022	,	
	State of Odisha		Appellant
	-versus-	Ar. M.K. K	huntia, A.G.A.
	Kali Charan Sadangi and another		Respondents None
22.	W.A. No. 1365 of	f 2022	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. A.P. Das	s, Addl. Sta	anding Counsel
	Prasanta Kumar Malla		Respondent None
23.	W.A. No. 1368 of	2022	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. M.K. Khun -versus-	tia, Addl. (Govt. Advocate
	Nalini Ranjan Mishra		Respondent None
24.	W.A. No. 1460 of	f 2022	
	State of Odisha		Appellant
	Mr. A.P. Da	as, Addl. S	tanding Counsel
	-versus-		
	Umesh Chandra Moharana		Respondent
		Mr. S.K.	Ojha, Advocate

25.	W.A. No.1651 of 2022		
	State of Odisha and others		Appellants
		Mr. M.K. Kl	huntia, A.G.A.
	-versus- Krushna Chandra Dash		Respondent None
26.	W.A. No. 1659	of 2022	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khu -versus-	ıntia, Addl. G	ovt. Advocate
	Ananga Bhima Deo	 Mr. D.R. Bho	Respondent okta, Advocate
27.	W.A. No. 1733	of 2022	
	State of Odisha		Appellant
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khu	ıntia, Addl. G	ovt. Advocate
	Pramod Kumar Sahoo		Respondent None
28.	W.A. No. 1813 of 2022		
	State of Odisha and Another	A	Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khu	ıntıa, Addl. G	ovt. Advocate
	Dr. Rajat Ranjan Sadual		Respondent None
29.	W.A. No. 257of	2023	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khu -versus-	ıntia, Addl. G	ovt. Advocate
	Aruna Kumar Rout		Respondent None

30.	W.A. No. 343 of 2023		
	State of Odisha and Another	••••	Appellants
	Mr. A.P. Da	s, Addl. St	tanding Counsel
	Mahendra Kumar Sahoo		Respondent None
31.	W.A. No. 345 of 2	2023	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khur -versus-	ntia, Addl.	Govt. Advocate
	Brusava Kumar Pradhan	 Mr. Manas	Respondent s Pati, Advocate
32.	W.A. No. 395 of 2	2023	
	State of Odisha and Another	(A)	Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khur -versus-	ntia, Addl.	Govt. Advocate
33.	Apurba Kumar Patra W.A. No. 505 of 2	2023	Respondent None
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate -versus-		
	Pradyumna Kumar Parida		Respondent None
34.	W.A. No. 511 of 2023		
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khur -versus-	ntia, Addl.	Govt. Advocate
	Biswa Bhusan Mohanty		Respondent None

35.	W.A. No. 535 of 2023		
	State of Odisha and Another	••••	Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khu -versus-	ntia, Addl. G	ovt. Advocate
	Rajat Chandra Singh	 Mr. D.R. Bho	Respondent okta, Advocate
36.	W.A. No. 536 of	2023	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khu -versus-	ntia, Addl. G	ovt. Advocate
	B. Lileswar Rao		Respondent None
37.	W.A. No. 567 of	2023	
	State of Odisha and Another		Appellants
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khu -versus-	ntia, Addl. G	ovt. Advocate
	Janardan Bal		Respondent None
38.	W.A. No. 596 of	2023	
	State of Odisha and Another Mr. Manoj Kumar Khu	 ntia, Addl. G	Appellants ovt. Advocate
	-versus-		
	Dr. Malay Kumar Pradhan Mr. Anja	 n Kumar Bis	Respondent wal, Advocate
39.	W.A. No. 604 of	2023	
	State of Odisha and Others		Appellants
	Mr. A.P. Da-versus-	as, Addl. Star	nding Counsel
	Niranjan Behura		Respondent None

40.	W.A. No. 634 of 2023		
	State of Odisha	Appellant	
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khunti	a, Addl. Govt. Advocate	
	-versus- Kanhu Charan Nayak	Respondent None	
41.	W.A. No. 677 of 20		
	State of Odisha and Others	Appellants	
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khunti	**	
	-versus-	,	
	Sunita Sahu	Respondent None	
42.	W.A. No. 731 of 20)23	
	The Principal Secretary to Govt., Food, Supplies and Consumer Welfare Dept.	Appellant	
	Mr. A.P. Das,	Addl. Standing Counsel	
42	Bijay Kumar Mohanty	Respondent None	
43.	W.A. No. 743 of 20		
	State of Odisha and Another	Appellants	
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate		
	-versus- Rajkishore Ghadei @ Ghadai	Respondent None	
44.	W.A. No. 790 of 20)23	
	State of Odisha	Appellant	
	Mr. Manoj Kumar Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate -versus-		
	Madhab Chandra Sarangi	Respondent None	

45.

W.A. No. 1266 of 2022

Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Works Appellant Department

Mr. Manoj Kumar Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate

-versus-

Ambika Ballava Swain and Others Respondents

None

46.

W.A. No. 125 of 2022

State of Odisha

Appellant

Mr. Manoj Kumar Khuntia, Addl. Govt. Advocate
-versus-

Srimanta Mishra

Respondent

Mr. Upendra Kumar Samal, Advocate

CORAM:
THE CHIEF JUSTICE
JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

Order No.

ORDER 11.05.2023

- 1. In all these writ appeals by the State of Odisha against the corresponding orders of the learned Single Judge, a common question arises for consideration viz. whether during the pendency of a criminal case against the government servant in the Court of the Special Judge (Vigilance), and notwithstanding exoneration of the said employee in the departmental proceedings, could the learned Single Judge have ordered grant of either ad hoc or regular promotion to the government servant subject to the outcome of the criminal proceedings?
 - 2. One other common factor that requires to be noticed is that except in W.A. No.596 of 2023 where the impugned order under challenge

was passed by the learned Single Judge after completion of pleadings in the writ petition, in all the other appeals the impugned order under challenge was passed by the learned Single Judge on the very first date of hearing of the writ petition without an opportunity to the State of Odisha to file its reply.

- 3. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties.
- 4. There is no dispute in that the criminal case involving the Respondents in the present appeals is still pending before the Court of the Special Judge (Vigilance) at various stages. In some cases, even a charge sheet is yet to be filed and in other charges may or may not have been framed; in certain other cases, trial is in progress.
- 5. Learned counsel appearing for the respective Respondents argue that on account of the long years of the pendency of the criminal case and with the impending prospect of many of the Respondents superannuating in the immediate future, one equitable solution would be for this Court to direct the Appellants (State of Odisha) to grant them 'ad hoc' promotions. In support of such plea, reliance placed on an interim order passed by this Court on 27th March, 2023 in W.A. No.321 of 2022.
- 6. However, it is pointed out by Mr. Khuntia, learned Additional Government Advocate (AGA) that in W.A. No.321 of 2022 the Respondent had been exonerated in the departmental proceedings and the report sent by the Special Judge, Vigilance to this Court

showed that the trial in T.R. Case No.50 of 2014 was progressing very slowly with only three witnesses having been examined. Accordingly, this Court in the said case directed the trial Court to conclude the entire trial and deliver the judgment on or before 1st August, 2023. It is in those circumstances that no interim order was passed by the Diviion Bench staying the order of the learned Single Judge. The said writ appeal has been directed to be listed on 10th August, 2023.

- 7. Apart from the fact that the aformenetioned order is an interlocutory one peculiar to the facts noted, as far as the present batch of cases is concerned, departmental proceedings are still pending in some and in all the cases criminal proceedings are pending.
- 8. The Court has been shown a compilation of Office Memoranda (OMs) and Notifications issued by the Government of Odisha from time to time. These include OM dated 18th February 1994, 4th July 1995, 1st November 1997, 28th January 1999, 28th May 2012, 29th April 2017 and 17th June 2021issued by the General Administration Department. None of them envisages or permits grant of promotion to a government servant either on regular or on ad hoc basis during the pendency of a criminal case involving such government servant.
- 9. Further in terms of the law explained by the Supreme Court in Union of India v. K.V. Janaki Raman (1991) 4 SCC 109, State of Punjab v. Chamanlal Goel (1995) 2 SCC 570, the judgment of this

Court dated 5th October, 2016 in W.P.(C) No.19909 of 2015 (*State of Odisha v. Somanath Sahoo*) and the judgment dated 26th April, 2017 in W.P.(C) No.22393 of 2015 (*State of Odisha v. Anil Kumar Sethi*), there is no right of the government servant to be considered for promotion during the pendency of either departmental proceedings or criminal proceedings or both against such government servant.

- 10. The plea of the learned counsel appearing for the Respondents that they should be granted at least one 'ad hoc promotion' is also without any legal basis in light of the above OMs and the settled position in law.
- 11. It is clarified that as and when the criminal proceedings end in favour of the government servant by way of an acquittal and such government servant also stands exonerated from the departmental procewedings then notwithstanding the superannuation of such government servant, the notional benefits attaching to the promotion that is due to the government servant would be calculated and the pension fixed accordingly.
- 12. In the light of the above orders of the Government, it is not possible for this Court to sustain the impugned orders of the learned Single Judge in the present cases directing the Appellants to grant regular promotion to the Respondents even with the caveat that such promotion would be subject to the outcome of the criminal case against such government servant. The said impugned orders of the learned Single Judge are accordingly hereby set aside.

- 13. It will of course be open to the Respondents to request the criminal Courts concerned to expedite the proceedings and bring the trials to an early conclusion.
- 14. The writ appeals are accordingly allowed, but in the circumstances, with no order as to costs.

