IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4140 OF 2022 [@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 7150 OF 2022]

JAI BHOLENATH CONSTRUCTION

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ZILLA PARISHAD, NANDED & ORS.

Respondent(s)

ORDER

Office Report dated 17.05.2022 indicates that Respondent Nos. 1 and 4 have refused to accept Dasti notice and Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have accepted notice, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

Leave granted.

The challenge in the present appeal is to an order dated 30.03.2022 passed by the High Court of judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, whereby the writ petition filed by the appellant was dismissed, relying upon an order passed by this Court in M/s N.G.Projects Ltd. Vs. M/s Vinod Kumar Jain, [Civil Appeal No. 1846 of 2022], reported in 2022 (5) SCALE 105.

It was on 17.08.2021, a tender was published inviting offers for the construction of staff quarters of the Primary Health Center, Ewaleshwar, Taulka Mahur, District Nanded. Four bidders

participated in the bid, including the appellant and Respondent No. 4 - M/s L.D. Constructions. The appellant was found to be a lowest bidder but the Letter of Intent was not issued to the appellant. It was on 24.11.2021, the Zila Parishad decided that M/s L.D. Constructions - Respondent No. 4 was excluded from the process and was declared it ineligible for non-compliance of the documents. The said respondent was found to be eligible, when the following note was recorded:-

"The Technical Bid envelope of subject mentioned work was opened on 26.08.2021 and L.D. Construction was excluded from the process and declared for ineligible non-compliance documents. In this regard, L.D.Construction, in a personal visit, has informed in writing that the proper documents are submitted, hence tender be perused again. Therefore, the tender committee again perused the documents and found that L.D. Construction has submitted complete documents and as they are eligible they are included in the tender process.

Therefore, the Technical/Financial envelopes are being opened again after in tender changes the making some rules. Hence, as per tender committee issued corrigendum in this regard."

It is thereafter, the bid of Respondent No. 4 was accepted. The said action was challenged in a writ petition by the appellant.

the learned counsel for have heard appellant. We find that the High court has totally misread the Judgment of this Court. Respondent No. 4 was declared eligible in a flagrant violation of principles of natural justice and all fairness in the process of determining the eligibility of tenderers. The bid of Respondent No. 4 was accepted when at the time of opening of technical bids, the respondent was disqualified. Therefore, said the manner in which the bid has been accepted, shows arbitrary exercise of the power.

Consequently, the order passed by the High Court is set aside. The respondent – Zilla Parishad to process the matter further from the stage prior to issuance of corrigendum dated 24.11.2021.

In view of above, the civil appeal is disposed of.

Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

 J. [HEMANT GUPTA]
 J. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

New Delhi; MAY 18, 2022. ITEM NO.15 COURT NO.10 SECTION III

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 7150 of 2022

(Arising out of impugned final Judgment and order dated 30.03.2022 in WP No. 14156 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad)

JAI BHOLENATH CONSTRUCTION

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ZILLA PARISHAD, NANDED & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 58688/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

(IA No. 58689/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date: 18-05-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Satyajit A. Desai, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Gautam, Adv. Mr. Satya Kam Sharma, Adv. Ms. Deb Deepa Majumdar, Adv. Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The Civil Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(RENU BALA GAMBHIR)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)