

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2760 OF 2022

\mathbf{D}	evika	Matwa	rlal R	otawan
IJ	CVIKa	INalva	пат п	Otawan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and ors

...Respondents

Ms. Kunickaa Sadanand for the Petitioner.

Ms. Jyoti Chavan, Addl. GP for the State.

Mr. N. R. Bubna for UOI.

Mr. Narayan Mane, Under Secretary, Home Department present in Court.

CORAM: G. S. J

G. S. KULKARNI &

FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, JJ.

DATED: 28 February, 2024

P.C.

- 1. On the backdrop of the order dated 13th October, 2020 passed by the Division Bench of this Court on the Petitioner's earlier Writ Petition (Writ Petition (L) No.4343 of 2020), this is a second round of the proceedings filed by the Petitioner.
- 2. The Petitioner is a victim of the terrorist attack which took place in Mumbai on 26th November, 2008. The Petitioner was then 9 years old when she was hit by a bullet fired by the accused Ajmal Kasab at the V.T. Station. She has undergone multiple surgeries. She has stated that at present she is suffering from several ailments for which she is under continuous medical treatment. She has also been a material witness for the prosecution, in the criminal trial of

Page 1 of 6
28 February, 2024

Vina Khadpe

the accused involved in the terrorist attack, held before the Sessions Court at

Mumbai. It is stated by the learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner that

even now she is required to attend the Court in related matters.

3. The Petitioner's case is that from the age of 9 years, she has suffered

severe hardship, and added to this, is her poor financial condition, requiring

her to stay in slums. She states that indisputedly she belongs to the

Economically Weaker Section (EWS) of the society. Now she is 25 years old

and has to live her life with such disabilities and in utter poverty, however, with

dignity. It is contended that whatever monetary / financial aid received was

spent on her medical treatment, with nothing left which would enable her to

have any resources to have roof over her head. The monetary compensation

received by her was meager hardly to compensate part of her medical expenses,

and no real compensation for her agony, pain and hardship suffered by her, for

no fault of her.

4. It is under these exceptional circumstances, the Petitioner approached

the State Government, that her case which is extraordinarily peculiar, be

considered for the allotment of a tenement under the Economically Weaker

Section (EWS) quota, as she is presently staying in slums, at the mercy of her

parents. It is under these circumstances, the Division Bench of this Court had

Page 2 of 6

28 February, 2024

passed the order dated 13th October 2020 directing the State Government that the Petitioner's representation be considered.

- 5. This Writ Petition was filed on 30th May, 2022. It was so far listed on earlier five occasions. On 3rd January, 2024, the proceedings were adjourned so as to enable the learned AGP to inform the Court on the decision taken on the representation of the Petitioner. We had passed the following order;
 - "1. To enable Ms. Chavan, learned Additional Government Pleader, to inform the Court as to whether any decision was taken by the Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra, in pursuance of the order dated 13th October 2020 passed by this Court in the previous Petition, being Writ Petition (L) No.4343 of 2020 stand over to 7th February 2024 "HOB".
 - 2. Liberty to the Respondents to place on record Reply Affidavit, if any."
- 6. Thereafter, the proceedings were listed before us on 7th February, 2024, when the Court was required to pass the following order adjourning the proceedings;
 - "1. Only by way of indulgence we adjourn the proceedings to 28th February 2024 "HOB". There shall be no further extension of time."
- 7. It is thus almost two years that the proceedings are pending. Today, the learned AGP under instructions of Mr. Narayan Mane, Under Secretary, Home Department who is present in the Court, has placed before us a decision taken by the Secretary as approved by the Chief Secretary albeit without any

Page 3 of 6
28 February, 2024

additional remark, which is interalia to the effect that the Petitioner's request

for allotment of a tenement under the EWS quota cannot be considered. Such

decision as taken by the Secretary is dated 21 st February 2024. Having perused

the said decision, we find it appropriate to take on record copies of the relevant

pages No.1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the said decision. The same be forwarded to the

Official Translator so that the official translation is available on record.

8. We have perused the said decision. We find from the said decision that

in the excruciating and glaring facts the case would present, the Petitioner's

genuine and basic necessity for allotment of tenement from whichever quota

ought to have been the primary consideration by the Secretary, to take such

decision, in such exceptional case. Such approach is wholly lacking in the said

decision as placed before us.

9. Significantly, the present case is not a routine and/or a normal case of

demand for allotment of a tenement under the EWS quota. The Petitioner is a

victim of a ghastly terrorist attack suffered at such tender age. Thus, while

taking such decision, due consideration to the peculiarity of the genuine and

bonafide need for allotment of a tenement and her welfare were legitimate

factors, on the Petitioner's claim of her entitlement to the allotment of a

tenement. She has not only suffered since the tender age of 9 years but

continues to have a health condition which she suffers due to several surgeries.

Page 4 of 6 28 February, 2024

These issues were paramount in taking such decision. Another factor which has

been overlooked is to the effect that absent, such deep set back the Petitioner

has suffered, and that too at such young age, she would have otherwise lead a

normal life. Certainly, she would have been in a better position with all abilities

to progress in her life.

10. We may also observe that routinely allotments of tenements under

several quotas take place. In several situations it is found by the Courts that the

allotments do not meet the test of law. We are thus surprised, that when such a

genuine case was presented before the concerned department, it certainly

deserved more human sensitivity and particularly when the basic human rights

of the Petitioner stood adversely affected, the Petitioner being a victim of a

terrorist attack.

11. In our opinion it would be certainly expected from a "Welfare State"

that wherever there is a deserving case of such exceptional nature and a

genuine need / necessity of a person to be conferred with such benefit and

more particularly, when the case involves enforcement of the basic fundamental

/ human rights guaranteed and recognized under Article 21 of the

Constitution, the State needs to be alive to such necessity, and needs to

exercise its discretion, for the welfare of such victim, by taking an appropriate

decision in the spirit of the Constitutional ethos. The State, otherwise,

Page 5 of 6
28 February, 2024

routinely exercises its authority in cases which would not be par as in the

present case. It also cannot be conceived that the State Government is helpless

to make allotment of tenements from its appropriate and large pool of such

tenements, available at the disposal of the State Government or its authorities /

bodies like the MHADA, Slum Authority, ULC, PAP tenements to name a few.

12. Thus, not satisfied with the decision taken by the Secretary, Housing

Department, for the reasons we have discussed, we direct the Secretary,

Housing Department, to place the Petitioner's file, along with the present

order, before the Hon'ble Minister for Housing, Government of Maharashtra,

so that the Hon'ble Minster can consider the peculiar facts and circumstances

of the case, and after due consideration of all the issues as highlighted by us,

applying his mind, take an appropriate decision on or before the adjourned

date of hearing.

13. We accordingly adjourn the proceedings to be listed on 13th March,

2024. (HOB).

(FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)



Page 6 of 6
28 February, 2024